arXiv:1607.05100v1 [math.CA] 28 Jun 2016

Properties of Quaternion Fourier Transforms

Dong Cheng*[1] and Kit Ian Kou†[1]

[1]Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, Macao, China

Abstract

With the recent popularity of quaternion and quaternion Fourier transforms (QFTs) technique in physics and engineering applications, there tends to be an inordinate degree of interest placed on the properties of QFTs. Due to the non-commutativity of multiplication of quaternions, there are different types of QFTs and we focus on the right-sided QFT (RQFT) and two-sided QFT (SQFT). In this paper, we begin by considering the behavior of the RQFT on the space $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. The energy-preserved property deduces to the extension of RQFT on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. We are largely exploiting the relation between RQFT and SQFT and some elementary properties of RQFT can be transformed to SQFT. As a generalization of QFTs, the properties of quaternion linear canonical transforms (QLCTs) are also studied on the basis of existing properties of QFTs.

Keywords: quaternion Fourier transforms; quaternion linear canonical transforms; inversion theorem; Plancherel theorem

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42A38, 42B10, 43A32, 43A50

1 Introduction

The quaternion Fourier transforms (QFTs) play a vital role in the representation of (hypercomplex) signals. They transform real (or quaternion-valued) 2D signals into a quaternion-valued frequency domain signals. The four components of the QFT separate four cases of symmetry into real signals instead of only two as in the complex Fourier Transform (FT). In [6, 8] authors used the QFT to proceed color image analysis. The study [2, 7] implemented the QFT to design a color image digital watermarking scheme.

*chengdong720@163.com
†kikou@umac.mo

Researchers in [3] applied the QFT to image pre-processing and neural computing techniques for speech recognition. Recently, certain asymptotic properties of the QFT were analyzed and a straightforward generalization of the classical Bochner-Minlos theorem to the framework of quaternion analysis was derived [11].

In classical Fourier theory, if f is integrable ($L^1(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$), then the Fourier transform $\widehat{f}(\xi)$ is well-defined by

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)e^{-\mathbf{i}\xi t}dt. \tag{1.1}$$

Moreover, if $\widehat{f}$ is also integrable, then $f(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(\xi)e^{\mathbf{i}\xi t}d\xi$ for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The integral (1.1) defining the Fourier transform is not suitable for square integrable function ($L^2(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$). But There is a natural and elegant theory of Fourier transform for square integrable functions. In fact, the Fourier transform $\mathfrak{F}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$ is not only isometric but also onto. This desirable property is very important in signal processing.

One may naturally asks what are the analogous results for QFTs of quaternion-valued signals? The previous contributions on inversion theorem and energy-preserved property of QFTs were developed in [6, 12]. On one hand, however, the existing results are not well established systematically. On the other hand, the prerequisites for setting up of the established theorem did not be studied completely. Therefore it is of great interest to progress with a function theory of QFT. In light of this, the inversion theorem on $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ and Plancherel theorem of QFTs are investigated thoroughly in this paper. Moreover, as a generalization of QFTs, the properties of quaternion linear canonical transforms (QLCTs) are also developed combining with the existing properties of QFTs.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we recall some basic knowledge of quaternion algebra. Section 3 investigates the inversion theorem on $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ and Plancherel theorem of right-sided QFT. In section 4, we establish the relation between RQFT and SQFT and study the elementary properties of SQFT. In section 5, the properties of quaternion linear canonical transforms (QLCTs) are studied on the basis of existing properties of QFTs.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Quaternion algebra

Throughout the paper, let

$$\mathbb{H} := \{q = q_0 + \mathbf{i}q_1 + \mathbf{j}q_2 + \mathbf{k}q_3 |\ q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3 \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

be the *Hamiltonian skew field of quaternions*, where the elements $\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{k}$ obey the Hamilton's multiplication rules:

$$\mathbf{ij} = -\mathbf{ji} = \mathbf{k},\quad \mathbf{jk} = -\mathbf{kj} = \mathbf{i},\quad \mathbf{ki} = -\mathbf{ik} = \mathbf{j},\quad \mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = \mathbf{ijk} = -1.$$

For every quaternion $q = q_0 + \underline{q}$, $\underline{q} = \mathbf{i}q_1 + \mathbf{j}q_2 + \mathbf{k}q_3$, the scalar and vector parts of q, are defined as $\mathrm{Sc}(q) = q_0$ and $\mathrm{Vec}(q) = \underline{q}$, respectively. If $q = \mathrm{Vec}(q)$, then q is called pure imaginary quaternion. The quaternion conjugate is defined by $\overline{q} = q_0 - \underline{q} = q_0 - \mathbf{i}q_1 - \mathbf{j}q_2 - \mathbf{k}q_3$, and the norm $|q|$ of q defined as $|q|^2 = q\overline{q} = \overline{q}q = \sum_{m=0}^{m=3} q_m^2$. Then we have

$$\overline{\overline{q}} = q, \quad \overline{p+q} = \overline{p} + \overline{q}, \quad \overline{pq} = \overline{q}\,\overline{p}, \quad |pq| = |p||q|, \qquad \forall p, q \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Using the conjugate and norm of q, one can define the inverse of $q \in \mathbb{H}\backslash\{0\}$ by $q^{-1} = \overline{q}/|q|^2$. The multiplication of two quaternions is noncommutative, but

$$\mathrm{Sc}(pq) = \mathrm{Sc}(qp) \qquad \forall p, q \in \mathbb{H}. \tag{2.1}$$

The quaternion exponential function e^q is defined by means of an infinite series as

$$e^q := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^n}{n!}.$$

Analogous to the complex case one may derive a closed-form representation:

$$e^q = e^{q_0}(\cos|\underline{q}| + \frac{\underline{q}}{|\underline{q}|}\sin|\underline{q}|).$$

The quaternion has subsets $\mathbb{C}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} := \{a + b\boldsymbol{\mu} : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, |\boldsymbol{\mu}| = 1, \boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathrm{Vec}(\boldsymbol{\mu})\}$. For each fixed unit pure imaginary quaternion $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, $\mathbb{C}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is isomorphic to the complex.

2.2 Quaternion module $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$

The left quaternion module $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})(p = 1, 2)$ consists of all $\mathbb{H}$-valued functions whose pth power is Lebesgue integrable on $\mathbb{R}^2$:

$$L^p(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) := \left\{ f | f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{H}, \|f\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})} := \int_{\mathbf{X}} |f(x_1, x_2)|^p dx_1 dx_2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Like the complex case, the left quaternion module $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ consists of all essentially bounded measurable $\mathbb{H}$-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^2$. The left quaternionic inner product of $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ is defined as

$$< f, g >_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_1, x_2)\overline{g(x_1, x_2)} dx_1 dx_2.$$

This inner product leads to a scalar norm $\|f\|_2^2 =< f, f >_{L^2(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{H})}$ which coincides with the usual L^2-norm for f, considered as a vector-valued function. In fact, $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ is a left quaternionic Hilbert space with inner product $< \cdot, \cdot >_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})}$ (see [5]). For simplicity of notation, we use $< \cdot, \cdot >$ in place of $< \cdot, \cdot >_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})}$ in the following. It is not difficult to verify that

$$< pf, qg >= p < f, g > \overline{q} \tag{2.2}$$

In fact, $f = f_0 + \mathbf{i}f_1 + \mathbf{j}f_2 + \mathbf{k}f_3 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})(p = 1, 2, \infty)$ if and only if $f_m(m = 0, 1, 2, 3) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$. Then we can consider every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})(p = 1, 2, \infty)$ as a linear combination of real (complex) L^p functions. Therefore, some properties of real (complex) L^p functions can be natural extended to quaternionic L^p functions. The corresponding complex versions of following results can be found in [15, 17].

Proposition 2.1 *If $\{f_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})(p = 1, 2, \infty)$, with limit f, then $\{f_n\}$ has a subsequence which converges pointwise almost every $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ to f.*

Proposition 2.2 *Let $p_\epsilon(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\pi^2}\frac{\epsilon^2}{(\epsilon^2+x_1^2)(\epsilon^2+x_2^2)}$ be the Poisson kernel, then*

1. *$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \|f * p_\epsilon - f\|_p = 0$ for every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})(p = 1, 2)$;*
2. *$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}(f * p_\epsilon)(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1, x_2)$, if $f(x_1, x_2) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ is continuous at a point (x_1, x_2).*

3 The right-sided quaternion Fourier transform

The quaternion Fourier transform (QFT) was first defined by Ell to analyze linear time-invariant systems of partial differential equations [9]. Later, some constructive works related to the QFT and its application to color image processing were studied (see [16, 4, 6, 12, 8, 1]). The non-commutativity of the quaternion multiplication leads to different types of quaternion Fourier transformations (see [10]). In this section, we consider the right-sided quaternion Fourier transform.

3.1 The right-sided quaternion Fourier transform pairs in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$

Definition 3.1 (RQFT) *For every $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, the factored, right-sided quaternion Fourier transform of f is defined by*

$$(\mathcal{F}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2) := \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_1, x_2)e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1}e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2}dx_1dx_2.$$

The following results are related to our study of the inversion theorem and Plancherel theorem of RQFT. We use the integral representations to express the convolutions.

Proposition 3.2 *If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, put $\widetilde{f}(x_1, x_2) := \overline{f(-x_1, -x_2)}$ and define $g(x_1, x_2) := (\widetilde{f} * f)(x_1, x_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{f(y_1, y_2)}f(x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2)dy_1dy_2$, then*

$$(f * p_\epsilon)(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} P(\epsilon\omega_1, \epsilon\omega_2)(\mathcal{F}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2)e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2}e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1}d\omega_1 d\omega_2$$

and

$$\mathrm{Sc}((g * p_\epsilon)(0, 0)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} P(\epsilon\omega_1, \epsilon\omega_2)\left|(\mathcal{F}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2)\right|^2 d\omega_1 d\omega_2,$$

where $P(\omega_1, \omega_2) = e^{-|\omega_1|-|\omega_2|}$.

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
p_\epsilon(x_1-y_1,x_2-y_2) &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\cdot\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon^2+(x_2-y_2)^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|\epsilon\omega_1|}e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 y_1}e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1}d\omega_1\\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|\epsilon\omega_1|}e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 y_1}\cdot\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon^2+(x_2-y_2)^2}e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1}d\omega_1\\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|\epsilon\omega_1|}e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 y_1}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|\epsilon\omega_2|}e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_2(x_2-y_2)}d\omega_2 e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1}d\omega_1\\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} P(\epsilon\omega_1,\epsilon\omega_2)e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 y_1}e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2 y_2}e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2}e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1}d\omega_1 d\omega_2,
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(f*p_\epsilon)(x_1,x_2)\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dy_1dy_2 f(y_1,y_2)\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} P(\epsilon\omega_1,\epsilon\omega_2)e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 y_1}e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2 y_2}e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2}e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1}d\omega_1 d\omega_2\\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} P(\epsilon\omega_1,\epsilon\omega_2)d\omega_1 d\omega_2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y_1,y_2)e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 y_1}e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2 y_2}e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2}e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1}dy_1dy_2\\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} P(\epsilon\omega_1,\epsilon\omega_2)(\mathcal{F}_r f)(\omega_1,\omega_2)e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2}e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1}d\omega_1 d\omega_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
g(x_1,x_2) = (\widetilde{f}*f)(x_1,x_2) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\overline{f(-y_1,-y_2)}f(x_1-y_1,x_2-y_2)dy_1dy_2\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\overline{f(y_1,y_2)}f(x_1+y_1,x_2+y_2)dy_1dy_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Sc}((g*p_\epsilon)(0,0))\\
&= \mathrm{Sc}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(y_1,y_2)p_\epsilon(-y_1,-y_2)dy_1dy_2\right)\\
&= \mathrm{Sc}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\overline{f(s_1,s_2)}f(s_1+y_1,s_2+y_2)ds_1ds_2\right]p_\epsilon(-y_1,-y_2)dy_1dy_2\right)\\
&= \mathrm{Sc}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} ds_1ds_2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\overline{f(s_1,s_2)}f(s_1+y_1,s_2+y_2)p_\epsilon(-y_1,-y_2)dy_1dy_2\right)\\
&= \mathrm{Sc}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} ds_1ds_2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\overline{f(s_1,s_2)}f(z_1,z_2)p_\epsilon(s_1-z_1,s_2-z_2)dz_1dz_2\right)\\
&= \mathrm{Sc}\left(\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} ds_1ds_2\overline{f(s_1,s_2)}f(z_1,z_2)dz_1dz_2P(\epsilon\omega_1,\epsilon\omega_2)e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 z_1}e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2 z_2}e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_2 s_2}e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1 s_1}d\omega_1 d\omega_2\right)\\
&= \mathrm{Sc}\left(\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} d\omega_1d\omega_2P(\epsilon\omega_1,\epsilon\omega_2)ds_1ds_2e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_2 s_2}e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1 s_1}\overline{f(s_1,s_2)}f(z_1,z_2)e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 z_1}e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2 z_2}dz_1dz_2\right)\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} P(\epsilon\omega_1,\epsilon\omega_2)|(\mathcal{F}_r f)(\omega_1,\omega_2)|^2 d\omega_1d\omega_2
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

To authors' knowledge, the inversions of RQFT have not been investigated for absolutely integrable quaternion-valued function thoroughly. By Proposition 2.1, 2.2 and 3.2, we give an inversion theorem about RQFT as follows.

Theorem 3.3 (Inversion of RQFT) *If $f, \mathcal{F}_r f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ and*

$$g(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\mathcal{F}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2) e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2} e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1} d\omega_1 d\omega_2,$$

then $f(x_1, x_2) = g(x_1, x_2)$ for almost every $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. Form Proposition 3.2,

$$(f * p_\epsilon)(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} P(\epsilon\omega_1, \epsilon\omega_2)(\mathcal{F}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2) e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2} e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1} d\omega_1 d\omega_2. \tag{3.1}$$

The integrands on the right side of (3.1) are bounded by $|(\mathcal{F}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2)|$, and since $P(\epsilon\omega_1, \epsilon\omega_2) \to 1$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} P(\epsilon\omega_1, \epsilon\omega_2)(\mathcal{F}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2) e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2} e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1} \to \frac{1}{2\pi} (\mathcal{F}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2) e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2} e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1}$$

as $\epsilon \to 0$. Hence, the right side of (3.1) converges to $g(x_1, x_2)$, for every $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, by the dominated convergence theorem.

From Proposition 2.1 and 2.2, we see that that there is a sequence $\{\epsilon_n\}$ such that $\epsilon_n \to 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} (f * p_{\epsilon_n})(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1, x_2)$, for almost every $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Hence $f(x_1, x_2) = g(x_1, x_2)$ for almost every $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. □

If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, Theorem 3.3 indicates that $\mathcal{F}_r f = 0$ for almost every $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ implies $f = 0$ for almost every $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Corollary 3.4 (Uniqueness of RQFT) *If $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ and $(\mathcal{F}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2) = (\mathcal{F}_r g)(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ for almost every $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, then $f(x_1, x_2) = g(x_1, x_2)$ for almost every $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.*

Now we see that, under suitable conditions, the original signal f can be reconstructed from $\mathcal{F}_r f$ by the inverse right-sided quaternion Fourier transform (IRQFT).

Definition 3.5 (IRQFT) *For every $F_r \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, the inverse right-sided quaternion Fourier transform of F_r is defined by*

$$(\mathcal{F}_r^{-1} F)(x_1, x_2) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_r(\omega_1, \omega_2) e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_1 x_1} e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_2 x_2} d\omega_1 d\omega_2.$$

Remark 3.6 *Both $\mathcal{F}_r$ and $\mathcal{F}_r^{-1}$ are bounded linear transformation from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ into $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. We will see later, both $\mathcal{F}_r|_{L^1 \cap L^2}$ and $\mathcal{F}_r^{-1}|_{L^1 \cap L^2}$ can be extended to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. As an operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, $\mathcal{F}_r^{-1}$ is the inversion of $\mathcal{F}_r$.*

Next we present some properties of inverse RQFT.

Proposition 3.7 *Suppose that* $F_r \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$.

1. *If* $G_{1r}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = F_r(\omega_1, \omega_2)\mathbf{i}\omega_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, *then the partial derivative of* $\mathcal{F}_r^{-1}F_r$ *with respect to* x_1 *exists and* $\frac{\partial(\mathcal{F}_r^{-1}F_r)}{\partial x_1}(x_1, -x_2) = (\mathcal{F}_r^{-1}G_{1r})(x_1, x_2)$.
2. *If* $G_{2r}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = F_r(\omega_1, \omega_2)\mathbf{j}\omega_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, *then the partial derivative of* $\mathcal{F}_r^{-1}F_r$ *with respect to* x_2 *exists and* $\frac{\partial(\mathcal{F}^{-1}F_r)}{\partial x_2}(x_1, x_2) = (\mathcal{F}_r^{-1}G_{2r})(x_1, x_2)$.
3. *If* $G_{3r}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = F_r(\omega_1, \omega_2)\mathbf{k}\omega_1\omega_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, *then*

$$-\frac{\partial^2(\mathcal{F}_r^{-1}F_r)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(x_1, -x_2) = (\mathcal{F}_r^{-1}G_{3r})(x_1, x_2).$$

An important result called the multiplication formula in classical Fourier analysis can be generalized to RQFT. Before to give the formula, we introduce an **auxiliary transform** for $f(x_1, x_2) = f_0(x_1, x_2) + \mathbf{i}f_1(x_1, x_2) + \mathbf{j}f_2(x_1, x_2) + \mathbf{k}f_3(x_1, x_2)$ which is defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} f(x_1, x_2) := f_0(x_1, x_2) + \mathbf{i}f_1(x_1, -x_2) + \mathbf{j}f_2(-x_1, x_2) + \mathbf{k}f_3(-x_1, -x_2).$$

Then we obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.8 *Suppose that* $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, $h := \boldsymbol{\alpha} g$, $H_r := \mathcal{F}_r h$ *and* $F_r := \mathcal{F}_r f$, *then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_r(x_1, x_2) g(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_1, x_2) H_r(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2. \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, if g *is contained in* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, *we have* $\|g\|_2 = \|h\|_2$.

Proof. Write $g = g_0 + \mathbf{i}g_1 + \mathbf{j}g_2 + \mathbf{k}g_3$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1} e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2} g(\omega_1, \omega_2) d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g_0(\omega_1, \omega_2) e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1} e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2} d\omega_1 d\omega_2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{i}g_1(\omega_1, \omega_2) e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1} e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2} d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{j}g_2(\omega_1, \omega_2) e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1} e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2} d\omega_1 d\omega_2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{k}g_3(\omega_1, \omega_2) e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1} e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2} d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g_0(\omega_1, \omega_2) e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1} e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2} d\omega_1 d\omega_2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{i}g_1(\omega_1, -\omega_2) e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1} e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2} d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{j}g_2(-\omega_1, \omega_2) e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1} e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2} d\omega_1 d\omega_2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{k}g_3(-\omega_1, -\omega_2) e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1} e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2} d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h(\omega_1, \omega_2) e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1} e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2} d\omega_1 d\omega_2 = H_r(x_1, x_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_r(\omega_1,\omega_2)g(\omega_1,\omega_2)d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_1,x_2)e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1}e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2}dx_1dx_2\right)g(\omega_1,\omega_2)d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_1,x_2)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1}e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2}g(\omega_1,\omega_2)d\omega_1 d\omega_2\right)dx_1dx_2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_1,x_2)H_r(x_1,x_2)dx_1dx_2.
\end{aligned}$$

If $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$, it is easy to verify that $\|g\|_2 = \|h\|_2$ by definition of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$. □

3.2 The Plancherel theorem of RQFT

In the complex case, the Plancherel theorem indicates that if $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$, and it turns out that $\widehat{f} \in L^2$ and $\|\widehat{f}\|_2 = \|f\|_2$, where $\widehat{f}$ is the classical Fourier transform of f. Moreover, this isometry of $L^1 \cap L^2$ into L^2 extends to an isometry of L^2 onto L^2 , and this extension defines the Fourier transform of every $f \in L^2$. The convolution theorem plays a vital role in proving the Plancherel theorem (see [15, 17]). However, the classical convolution theorem no longer holds for the QFT. The Plancherel theorem of QFT was discussed in recent research papers (see [6],[12],[1]). We give a restatement of Plancherel theorem here since the prerequisites for setting up of the theorem may not be put forward so clearly in recent research papers. It is probably worth pointing out that Proposition 3.2 plays a key role in our proof.

Theorem 3.9 *If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$, then $\mathcal{F}_r f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ and Parseval's identity $\|\mathcal{F}_r f\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2$ holds.*

Proof. We fix $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$, put $\widetilde{f}(x_1,x_2) := \overline{f(-x_1,-x_2)}$ and define

$$g(x_1,x_2) := (\widetilde{f} * f)(x_1,x_2) =< \overline{f}, \overline{f}_{-x_1,-x_2} >= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{f(y_1,y_2)}f(x_1+y_1,x_2+y_2)dy_1dy_2,$$

where $\overline{f}_{-x_1,-x_2}(y_1,y_2)$ denotes a translate of $\overline{f}$. Since $(x_1,x_2) \mapsto \overline{f}_{-x_1,-x_2}$ is a continuous mapping of $\mathbb{R}^2$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ and noticing that the continuity of the inner product, we see that $g(x_1,x_2)$ is a continuous function. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$|g(x_1,x_2)| \le \|\overline{f}_{-x_1,-x_2}\|_2\|f\|_2 = \|f\|_2^2.$$

Thus g is bounded. Furthermore, $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ since $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ and $\widetilde{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$.

Since g is continuous and bounded, Proposition 2.2 shows that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\mathrm{Sc}((g*p_\epsilon)(0,0)) = \mathrm{Sc}(g(0,0)) = \|f\|_2^2.$$

On the other hand, since $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, by Proposition 3.2:

$$\mathrm{Sc}\left((g * p_\epsilon)(0,0)\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} P(\epsilon\omega_1, \epsilon\omega_2)|(\mathcal{F}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2)|^2 d\omega_1 d\omega_2.$$

Since $0 \le P(\epsilon\omega_1, \epsilon\omega_2)|(\mathcal{F}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2)|^2$ increases to $|(\mathcal{F}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2)|^2$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, the monotone convergence theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \mathrm{Sc}((g * p_\epsilon)(0,0)) &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} P(\epsilon\omega_1, \epsilon\omega_2)|(\mathcal{F}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2)|^2 d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} P(\epsilon\omega_1, \epsilon\omega_2)|(\mathcal{F}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2)|^2 d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(\mathcal{F}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2)|^2 d\omega_1 d\omega_2 = \|\mathcal{F}_r f\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{F}_r f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ and $\|\mathcal{F}_r f\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2$. □

From Theorem 3.9, $\mathcal{F}_r|_{L^1\cap L^2}$ is an isometry of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. Since $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ is a dense subset of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. Therefore, there exists a unique bounded (continuous) extension, Ψ_r, of $\mathcal{F}_r|_{L^1\cap L^2}$ to all of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. If $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, $F_r = \Psi_r f$ is defined by the L^2 limit of the sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_r f_k\}$, where $\{f_k\}$ is any sequence in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ converging to f in the L^2 norm. If we choose $f_k(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1, x_2)\chi_{[-k,k]^2}(x_1, x_2)$, we have

$$F_r(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \underset{k\to\infty}{\text{l.i.m.}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[-k,k]^2} f(x_1, x_2) e^{-\mathbf{i}x_1\omega_1} e^{-\mathbf{j}x_2\omega_2} dx_1 dx_2.$$

where $f = \underset{k\to\infty}{\text{l.i.m.}}\, f_k$ means $\|f - f_k\|_2 \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$.

We call $F_r = \Psi_r f$ is the RQFT of f on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. The multiplication formula (3.2) easily extends to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. The left $\mathbb{H}$-linear operator Ψ_r on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ is an isometry. So Ψ_r is a one-to-one mapping. Moreover, we can show that Ψ_r is onto.

Theorem 3.10 *The RQFT Ψ_r is a unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$.*

Proof. Firstly, we show that the range of Ψ_r denoted by $R(\Psi_r)$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. Let $\{F_k\}$ be a sequence in $R(\Psi_r)$ converging to F_r in L^2 norm sense. we now prove that $F_r \in R(\Psi_r)$. Suppose that $\Psi_r f_k = F_k$. Then the isometric property shows that Ψ_r is continuous and $\{f_k\}$ is also a Cauchy sequence. The completeness of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ implies that $\{f_k\}$ converges to some $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, and the continuity of Ψ_r shows that $\Psi_r f = \underset{k\to\infty}{\text{l.i.m.}}\, \Psi_r f_k = F_r$.

If $R(\Psi_r)$ were not all of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, as every closed subspace of Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ has an orthogonal complement, we could find a function u such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_r(x_1, x_2)\overline{u(x_1, x_2)} dx_1 dx_2 = 0$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ and $\|u\|_2 \neq 0$. Let $g = \overline{u}, h = \boldsymbol{\alpha} g$, by multiplication formula,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_1, x_2) H_r(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_r(x_1, x_2) g(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 = 0$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. Pick $f = \overline{H_r}$, this implies that $H_r(x_1, x_2) = 0$ for almost every $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, contradicting the fact that $\|H_r\|_2 = \|h\|_2 = \|g\|_2 = \|u\|_2 \neq 0$. □

Next result shows that the mapping Ψ_r is a Hilbert space isomorphism of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, that is, preserving inner product.

Theorem 3.11 *Let $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ and $F_r = \Psi_r f, G_r = \Psi_r g$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_1, x_2) \overline{g(x_1, x_2)} dx_1 dx_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_r(\omega_1, \omega_2) \overline{G_r(\omega_1, \omega_2)} d\omega_1 d\omega_2.$$

Proof. Let

$$p_0 + \mathbf{i} p_1 + \mathbf{j} p_2 + \mathbf{k} p_3 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_1, x_2) \overline{g(x_1, x_2)} dx_1 dx_2$$

and

$$q_0 + \mathbf{i} q_1 + \mathbf{j} q_2 + \mathbf{k} q_3 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_r(\omega_1, \omega_2) \overline{G_r(\omega_1, \omega_2)} d\omega_1 d\omega_2.$$

From the Parseval's identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f + g\|_2^2 &= \|f\|_2^2 + \|g\|_2^2 + 2p_0 \\ &= \|F_r + G_r\|_2^2 \\ &= \|F_r\|_2^2 + \|G_r\|_2^2 + 2q_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $p_0 = q_0$. By using (2.2) and applying Parseval's identity to $\|f + \mathbf{i} g\|_2^2 = \|F_r + \mathbf{i} G_r\|_2^2$, $\|f + \mathbf{j} g\|_2^2 = \|F_r + \mathbf{j} G_r\|_2^2$, $\|f + \mathbf{k} g\|_2^2 = \|F_r + \mathbf{k} G_r\|_2^2$ respectively, we can get

$$p_m = q_m \ , \quad (m = 1, 2, 3)$$

which completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.12 *The inverse $f = \Psi_r^{-1} F_r$ is the L^2 limit of the sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_r^{-1} F_{rk}\}_k$, where $\{F_{rk}\}_k$ is any sequence in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ converging to F_r in the L^2 norm. If we choose $F_{rk} = F_r \chi_{[-k,k]^2}$, we have*

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \underset{k \to \infty}{\text{l.i.m.}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{[-k,k]^2} F_r(\omega_1, \omega_2) e^{\mathbf{j} x_2 \omega_2} e^{\mathbf{i} x_1 \omega_1} d\omega_1 d\omega_2.$$

In particular, if $F_r \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, then

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_r(\omega_1, \omega_2) e^{\mathbf{j} x_2 \omega_2} e^{\mathbf{i} x_1 \omega_1} d\omega_1 d\omega_2.$$

Proof. For $\Psi_r \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H}))$, the quaternionic Riesz representation theorem (see [5]) guarantees that there exists a unique operator $\Psi_r^* \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H}))$, which is called the adjoint of Ψ_r, such that for all $f,g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$, $(\Psi_r f, g) = (f, \Psi_r^* g)$. Since Ψ_r is unitary, then $\Psi_r^{-1} = \Psi_r^*$. For any fixed $F_r \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$, let $\{F_{rk}\}_k$ be an arbitrary sequence in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ converging to F_r in the L^2 norm, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
< g, \Psi_r^* F_r > &=< G_r, F_r > \\
&= \lim_{k\to\infty} < G_r, F_{rk} > \\
&= \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(x_1,x_2) e^{-\mathbf{i}x_1\omega_1} e^{-\mathbf{j}x_2\omega_2} dx_1 dx_2 \right) \overline{F_{rk}(\omega_1,\omega_2)} d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \\
&= \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(x_1,x_2) \overline{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_{rk}(\omega_1,\omega_2) e^{\mathbf{j}x_2\omega_2} e^{\mathbf{i}x_1\omega_1} d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \right)} dx_1 dx_2 \\
&= \lim_{k\to\infty} < g, \mathcal{F}_r^{-1} F_{rk} > =< g, \underset{k\to\infty}{\text{l.i.m.}}\, \mathcal{F}_r^{-1} F_{rk} >
\end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$. Thus $f = \Psi_r^{-1} F = \Psi_r^* F_r = \underset{k\to\infty}{\text{l.i.m.}}\, \mathcal{F}_r^{-1} F_{rk}$. In particular, if $F_r \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$, then

$$f(x_1,x_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_r(\omega_1,\omega_2) e^{\mathbf{j}x_2\omega_2} e^{\mathbf{i}x_1\omega_1} d\omega_1 d\omega_2$$

which completes the proof. □

Remark 3.13 *Since $\Psi_r(\Psi_r^{-1})$ coincides with $\mathcal{F}_r(\mathcal{F}_r^{-1})$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$. For simplicity of notations, in the following, by capital letter F_r, we mean the RQFT of $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H}) \cup L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ if no otherwise specified.*

4 The two-sided quaternion Fourier transform

In this section, we study the two-sided (sandwich) quaternion Fourier transform (SQFT).

4.1 The two-sided quaternion Fourier transform pairs in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$

Definition 4.1 (SQFT) *For every $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$, the factored, two-sided quaternion Fourier transform of f is defined as*

$$(\mathcal{F}_s f)(\omega_1,\omega_2) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1} f(x_1,x_2) e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2} dx_1 dx_2.$$

Unlike the RQFT, SQFT is not a left $\mathbb{H}$-linear operator. But SQFT is left $\mathbb{C}_\mathbf{i}$-linear and right $\mathbb{C}_\mathbf{j}$-linear. Moreover, SQFT could establish relationship with RQFT through the following transform.

Definition 4.2 *If* $f(x_1,x_2)=f_0(x_1,x_2)+\mathbf{i}f_1(x_1,x_2)+\mathbf{j}f_2(x_1,x_2)+\mathbf{k}f_3(x_1,x_2)\in L^p(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{H})(p=1,2)$*, the transform* $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ *is defined by*

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}f(x_1,x_2):=f_0(x_1,x_2)+\mathbf{i}f_1(x_1,x_2)+\mathbf{j}f_2(-x_1,x_2)+\mathbf{k}f_3(-x_1,x_2).$$

Proposition 4.3 *Suppose that* $f,g\in L^p(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{H})(p=1,2)$*. Then the following assertions hold.*

1. $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ *is a left* $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}}$*-linear bijection mapping on* $L^p(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{H})$*. So the inverse of* $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ *can be defined and* $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{-1}$ *is actually equal to* $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ *itself.*

2. *If* $f\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ *then*
$$\mathcal{F}_sf=\mathcal{F}_r(\boldsymbol{\beta}f). \tag{4.1}$$
Moreover, if f *is* $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}}$*-valued or* f *is even with respect to first variable, then* $\mathcal{F}_sf=\mathcal{F}_rf$*.*

3. *If* $f,g\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ *then* $\mathrm{Sc}\,(<\boldsymbol{\beta}f,\boldsymbol{\beta}g>)=\mathrm{Sc}\,(<f,g>)$*,* $\mathrm{Sc}\,(\mathbf{i}<\boldsymbol{\beta}f,\boldsymbol{\beta}g>)=\mathrm{Sc}\,(\mathbf{i}<f,g>)$*. In particular* $\|f\|_2=\|\boldsymbol{\beta}f\|_2$*.*

Proof. The assertion (1) is a direct consequence of the definition of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. To prove (2), write f in form of $f_0+\mathbf{i}f_1+\mathbf{j}f_2+\mathbf{k}f_3$ and let $h:=\boldsymbol{\beta}f$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{F}_sf(\omega_1,\omega_2)\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1x_1}f(x_1,x_2)e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2x_2}dx_1dx_2\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}f_0(x_1,x_2)e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1x_1}e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2x_2}dx_1dx_2+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\mathbf{i}f_1(x_1,x_2)e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1x_1}e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2x_2}dx_1dx_2\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\mathbf{j}f_2(x_1,x_2)e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1x_1}e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2x_2}dx_1dx_2+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\mathbf{k}f_3(x_1,x_2)e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1x_1}e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2x_2}ddx_1dx_2\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}f_0(x_1,x_2)e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1x_1}e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2x_2}dx_1dx_2+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\mathbf{i}f_1(x_1,x_2)e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1x_1}e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2x_2}dx_1dx_2\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\mathbf{j}f_2(-x_1,x_2)e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1x_1}e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2x_2}dx_1dx_2+\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\mathbf{k}f_3(-x_1,x_2)e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1x_1}e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2x_2}ddx_1dx_2\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}h(x_1,x_2)e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1x_1}e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2x_2}dx_1dx_2=\mathcal{F}_rh(\omega_1,\omega_2).
\end{aligned}$$

If f is $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}}$-valued or f is even with respect to first variable, then $\boldsymbol{\beta}f=f$. It follows that $\mathcal{F}_sf=\mathcal{F}_r(\boldsymbol{\beta}f)$.

Now we prove (iii). If $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Sc}\left(< \boldsymbol{\beta} f, \boldsymbol{\beta} g >\right) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0(x_1,x_2) g_0(x_1,x_2) dx_1 dx_2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_1(x_1,x_2) g_1(x_1,x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_2(-x_1,x_2) g_2(-x_1,x_2) dx_1 dx_2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_3(-x_1,x_2) g_3(-x_1,x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0(x_1,x_2) g_0(x_1,x_2) dx_1 dx_2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_1(x_1,x_2) g_1(x_1,x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_2(x_1,x_2) g_2(x_1,x_2) dx_1 dx_2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_3(x_1,x_2) g_3(x_1,x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \\
&= \mathrm{Sc}\left(< f, g >\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is left $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}}$-linear, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Sc}\left(\mathbf{i} < f, g >\right) &= \mathrm{Sc}\left(< \mathbf{i} f, g >\right) \\
&= \mathrm{Sc}\left(< \boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{i} f), g >\right) \\
&= \mathrm{Sc}\left(< \mathbf{i}\boldsymbol{\beta} f, g >\right) = \mathrm{Sc}\left(\mathbf{i} < \boldsymbol{\beta} f, \boldsymbol{\beta} g >\right).
\end{aligned}$$

At last

$$\|\boldsymbol{\beta} f\|_2^2 = \|f_0\|_2^2 + \|f_1\|_2^2 + \|f_2\|_2^2 + \|f_3\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2$$

which completes the proof. □

Theorem 4.4 (Inversion of SQFT) *If $f, \mathcal{F}_s f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ and*

$$g(x_1,x_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1} (\mathcal{F}_s f)(\omega_1,\omega_2) e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2} d\omega_1 d\omega_2, \tag{4.2}$$

then $f(x_1,x_2) = g(x_1,x_2)$ for almost every $(x_1,x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. By invoking (ii) of Proposition 4.3 we have $\mathcal{F}_s f = \mathcal{F}_r(\boldsymbol{\beta} f)$. Let $h := \mathcal{F}_r^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_s f)$, then $(\boldsymbol{\beta} f)(x_1,x_2) = h(x_1,x_2)$ for almost every $(x_1,x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ by Theorem 3.3. To prove $f(x_1,x_2) = g(x_1,x_2)$ for almost every $(x_1,x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, it suffices to verify $\boldsymbol{\beta} g = h$. Note that

$$h(x_1,x_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\mathcal{F}_s f)(\omega_1,\omega_2) e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_1 x_1} e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_2 x_2} d\omega_1 d\omega_2.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Sc}(h(x_1,x_2)) = \mathrm{Sc}(g(x_1,x_2))$ by invoking equation (2.1). Similarly we have $\mathrm{Sc}(h(x_1,x_2)\mathbf{i}) = \mathrm{Sc}(g(x_1,x_2)\mathbf{i})$. Since

$$h(x_1,x_2)\mathbf{j} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\mathcal{F}_s f)(\omega_1,\omega_2) e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_1 x_1} \mathbf{j} e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_2 x_2} d\omega_1 d\omega_2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Sc}\left(h(x_1,x_2)\mathbf{j}\right) &= \mathrm{Sc}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\mathcal{F}_s f)(\omega_1,\omega_2) e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_1 x_1} \mathbf{j} e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_2 x_2} d\omega_1 d\omega_2\right) \\
&= \mathrm{Sc}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_2 x_2} (\mathcal{F}_s f)(\omega_1,\omega_2) e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_1 x_1} \mathbf{j} d\omega_1 d\omega_2\right) \\
&= \mathrm{Sc}\left(g(-x_1,x_2)\mathbf{j}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we have $\mathrm{Sc}\,(h(x_1,x_2)\mathbf{k}) = \mathrm{Sc}\,(g(-x_1,x_2)\mathbf{k})$. Then we conclude that $\boldsymbol{\beta} g = h$ which completes the proof. □ So we can define the inverse two-sided quaternion

Fourier transform by equation (4.2) or equivalently by $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{-1}\mathcal{F}_r^{-1}F_s$.

Definition 4.5 (ISQFT) *For every $F_s \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$, the inverse two-sided quaternion Fourier transform of F_s is defined by*

$$(\mathcal{F}_s^{-1}F_s)(x_1,x_2) := \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\mathbf{i}\omega_2 x_2}F_s(\omega_1,\omega_2)e^{\mathbf{j}\omega_1 x_1}d\omega_1 d\omega_2.$$

4.2 The Plancherel theorem of SQFT

In section 3.2, we extend $\mathcal{F}_r|_{L^1\cap L^2}$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$. The RQFT on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ has more symmetry than RQFT in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$. The relation $\mathcal{F}_s f = \mathcal{F}_r(\boldsymbol{\beta} f)$ drives us to extend $\mathcal{F}_s|_{L^1\cap L^2}$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$.

Definition 4.6 *For every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$, the SQFT of $\Psi_s f$ is defined by*

$$\Psi_s f := \Psi_r(\boldsymbol{\beta} f) \tag{4.3}$$

In fact, we can define Ψ_s starting from the original definition 4.1 by taking L^2 norm limit. (4.3) gives us a different but actually equivalent form of Ψ_s.

Theorem 4.7 *Suppose that $f, G_s \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$, Then the following assertions hold.*

1. *$\Psi_s f$ defined by (4.3) is equal to the L^2 limit of the sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_s f_k\}_k$, where $\{f_k\}_k$ is any sequence in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})\cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ converging to f in the L^2 norm. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})\cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$, then $\Psi_s f = \mathcal{F}_s f$.*
2. *Ψ_s is a bijection on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ and $\Psi_s^{-1}G_s = \boldsymbol{\beta}^{-1}\Psi_r^{-1}G_s$. Furthermore, $\Psi_s^{-1}G_s$ is equal to the L^2 limit of the sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_s^{-1}G_{sk}\}_k$, where $\{G_{sk}\}_k$ is any sequence in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})\cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ converging to G_s in the L^2 norm. If $G_s \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})\cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$, then $\Psi_s^{-1}G_s = \mathcal{F}_s^{-1}G_s$.*

Proof. The assertion (i) is a consequence of (4.3) and definition of Ψ_r. The assertion (ii) is a consequence of (4.3) and Theorem 3.12. □

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.11 and Theorem 3.12, we present the following result.

Theorem 4.8 *If $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$, then $< \Psi_s f, g >=< \boldsymbol{\beta} f, \Psi_r^{-1} g >$.*

Having defined the SQFT for functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$, we obtain the following Parseval's identity.

Theorem 4.9 *Suppose that* $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, $F_s = \Psi_s f, G_s = \Psi_s g$. *Let*

$$p_0 + \mathbf{i}p_1 + \mathbf{j}p_2 + \mathbf{k}p_3 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_1, x_2)\overline{g(x_1, x_2)}dx_1dx_2$$

and

$$q_0 + \mathbf{i}q_1 + \mathbf{j}q_2 + \mathbf{k}q_3 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_s(\omega_1, \omega_2)\overline{G_s(\omega_1, \omega_2)}d\omega_1d\omega_2.$$

Then $\|F_s\|_2 = \|f\|_2$ *and* $p_m = q_m,\ (m = 0, 1)$. *Moreover, if both* f *and* g *are* $\mathbb{C}_\mathbf{i}$*-valued or even with respect to first variable, then* $p_m = q_m,\ (m = 0, 1, 2, 3)$.

Proof. Firstly, we show that Parseval's identity of SQFT holds. Applying Parseval's identity of RQFT and (iii) of Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|F_s\|_2^2 &=< \Psi_s f, \Psi_s f > \\ &=< \Psi_r(\boldsymbol{\beta} f), \Psi_r(\boldsymbol{\beta} f) > \\ &=< \boldsymbol{\beta} f, \boldsymbol{\beta} f > \\ &= \|\boldsymbol{\beta} f\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

By invoking Parseval's identity of SQFT to $\|f+g\|_2^2 = \|F_s+G_s\|_2^2$, $\|f+\mathbf{i}g\|_2^2 = \|F_s+\mathbf{i}G_s\|_2^2$ respectively, we get $p_m = q_m,\ (m = 0, 1)$. If both f and g are $\mathbb{C}_\mathbf{i}$-valued or even with respect to first variable, we have $\Psi_s f = \Psi_r f$ and $\Psi_s g = \Psi_r g$, therefore $< \Psi_s f, \Psi_s g >=< \Psi_r f, \Psi_r g >=< f, g >$, that is $p_m = q_m,\ (m = 0, 1, 2, 3)$. □

Remark 4.10 *By applying (2.1) Hitzer [12] proved* $p_0 = q_0$, *it follows that* $\|F_s\|_2 = \|f\|_2$. *We have shown that* p_1 *is also equal to* q_1. *One may be wondering what is the relationship between* p_2 *and* q_2 *(*p_3 *and* q_3*)? At the moment, we do not know their relation. Since* Ψ_s *is not left* $\mathbb{H}$*-linear, so* $\|f + \mathbf{j}g\|_2^2 \neq \|F_s + \mathbf{j}G_s\|_2^2$. *In fact,* $\|f + g\mathbf{j}\|_2^2 = \|F_s + G_s\mathbf{j}\|_2^2$. *It follows that* $\mathrm{Sc}\,(< f, g\mathbf{j} >) = \mathrm{Sc}\,(< F_s, G_s\mathbf{j} >)$ *rather than* $\mathrm{Sc}\,(< f, \mathbf{j}g >) = \mathrm{Sc}\,(< F_s, \mathbf{j}G_s >)$. *However,* $\mathrm{Sc}\,(< f, \mathbf{j}g >) = \mathrm{Sc}\,(< F_s, \mathbf{j}G_s >)$ *is equivalent to* $p_2 = q_2$ *by applying (2.2).*

5 The quaternion linear canonical transform

The linear canonical transform (LCT), as a generalization of the classical Fourier transform, has more degrees of freedom than the FT and the FRFT, but with similar computation cost as the conventional FT [13]. In [14], the authors generalized the classical LCT to the quaternionic algebra and defined QLCTs. In this section, we will only focus on two-sided (sandwich) QLCT and right-sided QLCT.

5.1 The SQLCT in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ and its extension to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$

Definition 5.1 *Let* $A_i=\begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i\end{pmatrix}\in\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ *be a real matrix parameter such that* $\det(A_i)=1$ *for* $i=1,2$. *The two-sided (sandwich) QLCT of* $f\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ *is defined by*

$$(\mathcal{L}_s f)(\omega_1,\omega_2):=\begin{cases}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K^{\mathbf{i}}_{A_1}(x_1,\omega_1)f(x_1,x_2)K^{\mathbf{j}}_{A_2}(x_2,\omega_2)dx_1dx_2, & b_1,b_2\neq 0,\\ \sqrt{d_1}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\mathbf{i}\frac{c_1d_1}{2}\omega_1^2}f(d_1\omega_1,x_2)K^{\mathbf{j}}_{A_2}(x_2,\omega_2)dx_2, & b_1=0,b_2\neq 0,\\ \sqrt{d_2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} K^{\mathbf{i}}_{A_1}(x_1,\omega_2)f(x_1,d_2\omega_2)e^{\mathbf{j}\frac{c_2d_2}{2}\omega_2^2}dx_1, & b_1\neq 0,b_2=0,\\ \sqrt{d_1d_2}e^{\mathbf{i}\frac{c_1d_1}{2}\omega_1^2}f(d_1\omega_1,d_2\omega_2)e^{\mathbf{j}\frac{c_2d_2}{2}\omega_2^2}, & b_1=b_2=0.\end{cases}$$

where

$$K^{\mathbf{i}}_{A_1}(x_1,\omega_1):=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{i}2\pi b_1}}e^{\mathbf{i}(\frac{a_1}{2b_1}x_1^2-\frac{1}{b_1}x_1\omega_1+\frac{d_1}{2b_1}w_1^2)},\quad \text{for}\ \ b_1\neq 0 \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$K^{\mathbf{j}}_{A_2}(x_2,\omega_2):=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{j}2\pi b_2}}e^{\mathbf{j}(\frac{a_2}{2b_2}x_2^2-\frac{1}{b_2}x_2\omega_2+\frac{d_2}{2b_2}w_2^2)},\quad \text{for}\ \ b_2\neq 0. \tag{5.2}$$

We only consider the case of $b_1b_2\neq 0$. If $b_i\neq 0$ for $i=1,2$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i\end{pmatrix}^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix} d_i & -b_i \\ -c_i & a_i\end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.3}$$

Lemma 5.2 *Let* $f\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ *and* $h(x_1,x_2)=e^{\mathbf{i}\frac{a_1}{2b_1}x_1^2}f(x_1,x_2)e^{\mathbf{j}\frac{a_2}{2b_2}x_2^2}$, *then*

$$(\mathcal{L}_s f)(\omega_1,\omega_2)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{i}b_1}}e^{\mathbf{i}\frac{d_1}{2b_1}w_1^2}(\mathcal{F}_s h)\left(\frac{\omega_1}{b_1},\frac{\omega_2}{b_2}\right)e^{\mathbf{j}\frac{d_2}{2b_2}w_2^2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{j}b_2}}. \tag{5.4}$$

Theorem 5.3 (Inversion of SQLCT) *If* $f,\mathcal{L}_s f\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ *and*

$$g(x_1,x_2)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K^{\mathbf{i}}_{A_1^{-1}}(\omega_1,x_1)(\mathcal{L}_s f)(\omega_1,\omega_2)K^{\mathbf{j}}_{A_2^{-1}}(\omega_2,x_2)d\omega_1d\omega_2, \tag{5.5}$$

then $f(x_1,x_2)=g(x_1,x_2)$ *for almost every* $(x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 4.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&f(x_1,x_2)\\
&=e^{-\mathbf{i}\frac{a_1}{2b_1}x_1^2}h(x_1,x_2)e^{-\mathbf{j}\frac{a_2}{2b_2}x_2^2}\\
&=e^{-\mathbf{i}\frac{a_1}{2b_1}x_1^2}\frac{1}{2\pi|b_1b_2|}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}e^{\mathbf{i}\frac{\omega_1}{b_1}x_1}(\mathcal{F}_s h)\left(\frac{\omega_1}{b_1},\frac{\omega_2}{b_2}\right)e^{\mathbf{j}\frac{\omega_2}{b_2}x_2}d\omega_1d\omega_2\right)e^{-\mathbf{j}\frac{a_2}{2b_2}x_2^2}\\
&=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\mathbf{i}b_1}}e^{\mathbf{i}(-\frac{d_1}{2b_1}w_1^2+\frac{\omega_1}{b_1}x_1-\frac{a_1}{2b_1}x_1^2)}(\mathcal{L}_s f)(\omega_1,\omega_2)\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\mathbf{j}b_1}}e^{\mathbf{j}(-\frac{d_2}{2b_2}w_2^2+\frac{\omega_2}{b_2}x_2-\frac{a_2}{2b_2}x_2^2)}d\omega_1d\omega_2\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}K^{\mathbf{i}}_{A_1^{-1}}(\omega_1,x_1)(\mathcal{L}_s f)(\omega_1,\omega_2)K^{\mathbf{j}}_{A_2^{-1}}(\omega_2,x_2)d\omega_1d\omega_2\\
&=g(x_1,x_2).
\end{aligned}$$

The second equality holds for almost every (x_1, x_2) and the last equality is a consequence of (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3). □

So we can define the inverse SQLCT $\mathcal{L}_s^{-1}$ by right-side of (5.5). Furthermore, $\mathcal{L}_s$ ($\mathcal{L}_s^{-1}$) can be extended to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$.

Theorem 5.4 (SQLCT on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$) *Let $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, $h(x_1, x_2) := e^{\mathbf{i}\frac{a_1}{2b_1}x_1^2} f(x_1, x_2) e^{\mathbf{j}\frac{a_2}{2b_2}x_2^2}$, define*

$$(\Phi_s f)(\omega_1, \omega_2) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{i}b_1}} e^{\mathbf{i}\frac{d_1}{2b_1}w_1^2} (\Psi_s h)\left(\frac{\omega_1}{b_1}, \frac{\omega_2}{b_2}\right) e^{\mathbf{j}\frac{d_2}{2b_2}w_2^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{j}b_2}}.$$

Then the following assertions hold.

1. *Φ_s is a bijection on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. Moreover, Φ_s and Φ_s^{-1} coincide with $\mathcal{L}_s$ and $\mathcal{L}_s^{-1}$ on $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ respectively.*

2. *Parseval's identity $\|\Phi_s f\|_2 = \|f\|_2$ holds. Furthermore, $\mathrm{Sc}\,(< f, g >) = \mathrm{Sc}\,(< \Phi_s f, \Phi_s g >)$ and $\mathrm{Sc}\,(\mathbf{i} < f, g >) = \mathrm{Sc}\,(\mathbf{i} < \Phi_s f, \Phi_s g >)$.*

5.2 The RQLCT in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ and its extension to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$

Now we turn our study to right-sided QLCT.

Definition 5.5 *Let $A_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ be a real matrix parameter such that $\det(A_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. The right-sided QLCT of $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ is defined by*

$$(\mathcal{L}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2) := \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_1, x_2) K_{A_1}^{\mathbf{i}}(x_1, \omega_1) K_{A_2}^{\mathbf{j}}(x_2, \omega_2) dx_1 dx_2, & b_1, b_2 \neq 0, \\ \sqrt{d_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(d_1\omega_1, x_2) e^{\mathbf{i}\frac{c_1 d_1}{2}\omega_1^2} K_{A_2}^{\mathbf{j}}(x_2, \omega_2) dx_2, & b_1 = 0, b_2 \neq 0, \\ \sqrt{d_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x_1, d_2\omega_2) K_{A_1}^{\mathbf{i}}(x_1, \omega_2) e^{\mathbf{j}\frac{c_2 d_2}{2}\omega_2^2} dx_1, & b_1 \neq 0, b_2 = 0, \\ \sqrt{d_1 d_2} f(d_1\omega_1, d_2\omega_2) e^{\mathbf{i}\frac{c_1 d_1}{2}\omega_1^2} e^{\mathbf{j}\frac{c_2 d_2}{2}\omega_2^2}, & b_1 = b_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

We want to build relationship between RQLCT and transforms defined in former sections so that previous results could be employed in this section. However, due to the quadratic term of kernel (5.1) and (5.2), it's an extravagant hope to have a good relation like (4.1) for $\mathcal{L}_r$ and general $\mathbb{H}$-valued functions f.

The two-dimensional RQLCT can be considered as a cascade of two one-dimensional transforms. For any fixed unit pure imaginary quaternion $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, let $f(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$, define transform $\mathbf{F}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ of f as

$$(\mathbf{F}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} f)(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{-\boldsymbol{\mu} t \xi} dt.$$

By making a similar but easier proof to Theorem 3.3 and 3.10, we obtain the following Lemma.

Lemma 5.6 *$f, \mathbf{F}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$, then*

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathbf{F}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} f)(\xi) e^{\boldsymbol{\mu} t \xi} d\xi$$

holds for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Furthermore, $\mathbf{F}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ can be defined for every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ and $\|\mathbf{F}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} f\|_2 = \|f\|_2$.

To investigate right-sided QLCT, we will only be concerned with the case of $b_1 b_2 \neq 0$ in following.

Theorem 5.7 (Inversion of RQLCT) *If $f, \mathcal{L}_r f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ and*

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\mathcal{L}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2) K^{\mathbf{j}}_{A_2^{-1}}(\omega_2, x_2) K^{\mathbf{i}}_{A_1^{-1}}(\omega_1, x_1) d\omega_1 d\omega_2, \tag{5.6}$$

holds for almost every $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. Let $g_{x_2}(x_1) = g(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1, x_2) e^{\mathbf{i} \frac{a_1}{2b_1} x_1^2}$, $m_{\omega_1}(x_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x_1, x_2) K^{\mathbf{i}}_{A_1}(x_1, \omega_1) dx_1$ and $n_{\omega_1}(x_2) = m_{\omega_1}(x_2) e^{\mathbf{i} \frac{a_2}{2b_2} x_2^2}$. Then

$$(\mathcal{L}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2) = (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{j}} n_{\omega_1}) \left(\frac{\omega_2}{b_2} \right) e^{\mathbf{j} \frac{d_2}{2b_2} \omega_2^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{j} b_2}}. \tag{5.7}$$

Since $f, \mathcal{L}_r f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. It is easy to verify that $m_{\omega_1}, n_{\omega_1}, (\mathcal{L}_r f)(\omega_1, \cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H})$ for almost every $\omega_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ by Fubini's theorem. Then by invoking Lemma 5.6,

$$n_{\omega_1}(x_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{L}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2) e^{-\mathbf{j} \frac{d_2}{2b_2} \omega_2^2} \sqrt{\mathbf{j} b_2} e^{\mathbf{j} \frac{\omega_2}{b_2} x_2} \frac{1}{|b_2|} d\omega_2$$

holds for almost every $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that

$$m_{\omega_1}(x_2) = (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{i}} g_{x_2}) \left(\frac{\omega_1}{b_1} \right) e^{\mathbf{j} \frac{d_1}{2b_1} \omega_1^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{i} b_1}}. \tag{5.8}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(x_1, x_2) \\
&= g_{x_2}(x_1) e^{-\mathbf{i} \frac{a_1}{2b_1} x_1^2} \\
&= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} n_{\omega_1}(x_2) e^{-\mathbf{i} \frac{a_2}{2b_2} x_2^2} e^{-\mathbf{j} \frac{d_1}{2b_1} \omega_1^2} \sqrt{\mathbf{i} b_1} e^{\mathbf{i} \frac{\omega_1}{b_1} x_1} \frac{1}{|b_1|} d\omega_1 \right) e^{-\mathbf{i} \frac{a_1}{2b_1} x_1^2} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\mathcal{L}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2) K^{\mathbf{j}}_{A_2^{-1}}(\omega_2, x_2) K^{\mathbf{i}}_{A_1^{-1}}(\omega_1, x_1) d\omega_1 d\omega_2
\end{aligned}$$

holds for almost every $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ by invoking Lemma 5.6 again. □ For every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})(p = 1, 2)$, we can decompose it into two parts:

$$f = f_{\mathbf{i}1} + \mathbf{j} f_{\mathbf{i}2} \tag{5.9}$$

where $f_{\mathbf{i}1}, f_{\mathbf{i}2}$ are $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}}$-valued. If we define $\Phi_r f := \Phi_s f_{\mathbf{i}1} + \mathbf{j} \Phi_s f_{\mathbf{i}2}$, then Φ_r is well-defined for every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ and coincides with $\mathcal{L}_r$ on $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$.

Theorem 5.8 *For every $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, $< f, g >=< \Phi_r f, \Phi_r g >$ holds. In particular, $\|\Phi_r f\|_2 = \|f\|_2$.*

Proof. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ then $\mathcal{L}_r f = \mathcal{L}_s f_{\mathbf{i}1} + \mathbf{j}\mathcal{L}_s f_{\mathbf{i}2}$. Since $\mathcal{L}_s f_{\mathbf{i}1}, \mathcal{L}_s f_{\mathbf{i}2} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, thus $\mathcal{L}_r f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. Applying Lemma 5.6 and noticing that (5.7) and (5.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\mathcal{L}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2)|^2 \, d\omega_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{j}} n_{\omega_1}) \left(\frac{\omega_2}{b_2}\right) \right|^2 \frac{1}{|b_2|} d\omega_2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{j}} n_{\omega_1}) \left(\frac{\omega_2}{b_2}\right) \right|^2 \frac{1}{|b_2|} d\omega_2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{j}} n_{\omega_1})(\omega_2)|^2 \, d\omega_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |n_{\omega_1}(x_2)|^2 \, dx_2\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |n_{\omega_1}(x_2)|^2 \, d\omega_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |m_{\omega_1}(x_2)|^2 \, d\omega_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| (\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{i}} g_{x_2}) \left(\frac{\omega_1}{b_1}\right) \right|^2 \frac{1}{|b_1|} d\omega_2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{i}} g_{x_2})(\omega_2)|^2 \, d\omega_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x_1, x_2)|^2 \, dx_1.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(\mathcal{L}_r f)(\omega_1, \omega_2)|^2 \, d\omega_2 d\omega_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |n_{\omega_1}(x_2)|^2 \, dx_2 d\omega_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |n_{\omega_1}(x_2)|^2 \, d\omega_1 dx_2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |g(x_1, x_2)|^2 \, dx_1 dx_2,\end{aligned}$$

namely, $\|\mathcal{L}_r f\|_2 = \|f\|_2$. Since Φ_r is the extension of $\mathcal{L}_r$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, then $\|\Phi_r f\|_2 = \|f\|_2$. Since Φ_r is left $\mathbb{H}$-linear, applying Parseval's identity to $\|f + \mathbf{i}g\|_2^2 = \|\Phi_r f + \mathbf{i}\Phi_r g\|_2^2$, $\|f + \mathbf{j}g\|_2^2 = \|\Phi_r f + \mathbf{j}\Phi_r g\|_2^2$, $\|f + \mathbf{k}g\|_2^2 = \|\Phi_r f + \mathbf{k}\Phi_r g\|_2^2$ respectively, then $< f, g >=< \Phi_r f, \Phi_r g >$ holds for every $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. □

In Theorem 4.9, we conclude that if both f and g are $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}}$-valued, then $< f, g >=< \Psi_s f, \Psi_s g >$. But the analogous result for SQLCT is not reached yet in Theorem 5.4. In fact, if f is $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}}$-valued, we have $\Phi_r f = \Phi_s f$. Then by Theorem 5.8, we obtain the following corollary which is a supplement for Theorem 5.4.

Corollary 5.9 *Suppose that $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ and both of them are $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}}$-valued, then $< f, g >=< \Phi_s f, \Phi_s g >$.*

6 Discussions and Conclusions

Due to the non-commutativity of multiplication of quaternions, there are at least eight types of QFTs and we only consider two typical types of them. How about the rest of QFTs?

1. The left-sided QFT (LQFT) $e^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1 x_1}e^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2 x_2}f(\cdot)$ follows a similar pattern to RQFT, with kernel moved to left side. As left-sided QFT is right $\mathbb{H}$-linear, we only need to revise the definition of inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ to be

$$< f, g >_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})}= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{f(x_1,x_2)}g(x_1,x_2)dx_1dx_2.$$

   Then the results of RQFT still hold for LQFT case.

2. If $\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}$ are substituted into $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1,\boldsymbol{\mu}_2$ respectively, where $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1,\boldsymbol{\mu}_2$ be any two perpendicular unit pure imaginary quaternion, all of above results still hold.

3. The types of $e^{-\boldsymbol{\mu}\omega_1 x_1}e^{-\boldsymbol{\mu}\omega_2 x_2}f(\cdot)$, $f(\cdot)e^{-\boldsymbol{\mu}\omega_1 x_1}e^{-\boldsymbol{\mu}\omega_2 x_2}$ and $e^{-\boldsymbol{\mu}\omega_1 x_1}f(\cdot)e^{-\boldsymbol{\mu}\omega_2 x_2}$ (single axis types) obviously easier than the types in present paper (factored types). The single axis types of QFTs have similar properties to factor types of QFTs. Moreover, the proofs will be simpler.

4. The inversion theorem and Plancherel theorem of transforms $e^{-\boldsymbol{\mu}_1\omega_1 x_1-\boldsymbol{\mu}_2\omega_2 x_2}f(\cdot)$ and $f(\cdot)e^{-\boldsymbol{\mu}_1\omega_1 x_1-\boldsymbol{\mu}_2\omega_2 x_2}$ (dual axis types) have not been worked out yet in this paper.

Each type of QLCTs corresponds to a specific type of QFTs. So above four facts about QFTs are suited for QLCTs as well.

In this paper, we investigate the behaviors of the QFTs (except for dual axis types) on the space $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$. They are reversible under the suitable condition (the transformed function still integrable). The QFTs on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ have symmetric property, for example, RQFT is unitary on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$. We are largely exploiting the relations between different types of QFTs. These relations are helpful to study the properties of QFTs.

7 Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge financial support from the National Natural Science Funds for Young Scholars (No. 11401606, 11501015) and University of Macau No. MYRG2015-00058-FST, MYRG099(Y1-L2)-FST13-KKI and the Macao Science and Technology Development Fund FDCT/094/2011A, FDCT/099/2012/A3.

References

[1] Mawardi Bahri, Eckhard MS Hitzer, Akihisa Hayashi, and Ryuichi Ashino. An uncertainty principle for quaternion Fourier transform. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 56(9):2398–2410, 2008.

[2] Patrick Bas, Nicolas Le Bihan, and Jean-Marc Chassery. Color image watermarking using quaternion fourier transform. In *Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, 2003. Proceedings.(ICASSP'03). 2003 IEEE International Conference on*, volume 3, pages III–521. IEEE, 2003.

[3] Eduardo Bayro-Corrochano, Noel Trujillo, and Michel Naranjo. Quaternion fourier descriptors for the preprocessing and recognition of spoken words using images of spatiotemporal representations. *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision*, 28(2):179–190, 2007.

[4] Nicolas Le Bihan and Stephen J Sangwine. Quaternion principal component analysis of color images. In *Image Processing, 2003. ICIP 2003. Proceedings. 2003 International Conference on*, volume 1, pages I–809. IEEE, 2003.

[5] Fred Brackx, Richard Delanghe, and Franciscus Sommen. *Clifford Analysis*, volume 76. Pitman Books Limited, 1982.

[6] Thomas Bülow. *Hypercomplex Spectral Signal Representations for The Processing and Analysis of Images*. Universität Kiel. Institut für Informatik und Praktische Mathematik, 1999.

[7] Beijing Chen, Gouenou Coatrieux, Gang Chen, Xingming Sun, Jean Louis Coatrieux, and Huazhong Shu. Full 4-d quaternion discrete fourier transform based watermarking for color images. *Digital Signal Processing*, 28:106–119, 2014.

[8] Todd Ell, Stephen J Sangwine, et al. Hypercomplex Fourier transforms of color images. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, 16(1):22–35, 2007.

[9] Todd Anthony Ell. Quaternion-Fourier transforms for analysis of two-dimensional linear time-invariant partial differential systems. In *Decision and Control, 1993., Proceedings of the 32nd IEEE Conference on*, pages 1830–1841. IEEE, 1993.

[10] Todd Anthony Ell. Quaternion Fourier transform: Re-tooling image and signal processing analysis. In *Quaternion and Clifford Fourier Transforms and Wavelets*, pages 3–14. Springer, 2013.

[11] S Georgiev, J Morais, KI Kou, and W Sprößig. Bochner–minlos theorem and quaternion fourier transform. In *Quaternion and Clifford Fourier Transforms and Wavelets*, pages 105–120. Springer, 2013.

[12] Eckhard MS Hitzer. Quaternion Fourier transform on quaternion fields and generalizations. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Alg.*, 17(3):497–517, 2007.

[13] Aykut Koc, Haldun M Ozaktas, Cagatay Candan, and M Alper Kutay. Digital computation of linear canonical transforms. *Signal Processing, IEEE Transactions on*, 56(6):2383–2394, 2008.

[14] Kit Ian Kou, Jianyu Ou, and Joao Morais. Uncertainty principles associated with quaternionic linear canonical transforms. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 2016.

[15] Walter Rudin. *Real and Complex Analysis*. Tata McGraw-Hill Education, 1987.

[16] Stephen John Sangwine. Fourier transforms of colour images using quaternion or hypercomplex, numbers. *Electron. Lett.*, 32(21):1979–1980, 1996.

[17] Elias M Stein and Guido L Weiss. *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, volume 1. Princeton university press, 1971.